

### INTEGRATED STUDIES OF EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY

# WWW E-RATE SURVEY FALL 2000

### **PLEASE NOTE:**

THE ONLINE VERSION OF THIS SURVEY IMPLEMENTS SKIP PATTERNS THAT GUIDE THE RESPONDENT TO THE APPROPRIATE SERIES OF QUESTIONS. BECAUSE OF THIS AND OTHER PROGRAMMING CONSIDERATIONS, THE ONLINE VERSION WILL LOOK DIFFERENT FROM THIS HARD COPY OF THE E-RATE SURVEY, BUT WILL HAVE THE SAME CONTENT.

The Urban Institute 2100 M St., NW Washington, DC 20037 (Toll-free number TBA)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average about 120 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to the Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project 1875-0179, Washington, DC 20503.

A project of the Department of Education, Planning and Evaluation Services.

This project is being conducted under Title III of PL 103-382 and the Telecommunications Act of 1996. While you are not required to respond, your cooperation is needed to make the results of the study comprehensive, accurate and timely. The information you provide is being collected for research purposes only and will be kept strictly confidential.

O.M.B. NO. 1875-0189 Approval Expires 09/30/2001

To better understand the role and use of information technology in schools, the U.S. Department of Education has contracted with SRI International, The Urban Institute, and the American Institutes for Research to conduct linked studies on the availability and uses of educational technology among states, school districts, schools, and teachers across the country. Collectively, these research and evaluation efforts are referred to as the *Integrated Studies of Educational Technology*, and will comprise one of the largest and most comprehensive national studies on the role of technology in American elementary and secondary schools to date.

This survey of schools is designed to capture detailed information about the nature and adequacy of educational technology in the Nation's public schools. While you are not required to respond, your cooperation is needed to make the results of this survey of educational technology comprehensive, accurate, and timely. Thank you for your participation in this important study.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

**Educational Technology** — A variety of technologies used to support instruction such as: computers, (laptops, desktops, etc.) telecommunications, (Internet, Local networks, etc.) digital cameras, peripheral devices, (printer, scanner, etc.) graphing calculators, and software.

**Distance learning** – Refers to the transmission of information from one geographic location to another via various modes of telecommunications technology.

**E-mail** (Electronic mail) – Refers to text messages transmitted across networks and usually accessible only by the addressee.

**Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)**— this is a measure of your staff capacity that is calculated by adding the number of full-time staff to the sum of the "fractional" part-time staff. For example, a 50% staff person, and two 25% person staff equal one (1) full-time equivalent (0.5 + 0.25 + 0.25 = 1.0).

**Multimedia** – Refers to the use of a computer to produce any combination of text, full color images and graphics, video, animation, and sound.

**Instructional rooms** - refers to rooms in the school building used for any instructional purposes (includes classrooms, labs, library/media centers, art rooms, rooms used for vocational or special education, etc.).

#### Types of Internet Connections:

- Cable modem provides greater bandwidth from Internet Service Providers that enables faster data transfer than is possible using a 33.6 kbps modem, 56 kbps modem, or 128 kbps ISDN connection. Cable networks are supplied by cable companies and generally use fiber-optic cabling to form connections, although some cable companies may rely on co-axial cabling.
- **DS1** refers to a digital transmission speed of 1.544 Mega (million) bits per second.
- **DS3** refers to a digital transmission speed of 45 Mega (million) bits per second.
- **Dial-up connection** customer is only connected to the Internet when his/her modem dials the Internet Service Provider's telephone number to establish the connection.
- **56Kb** a digital transmission speed of 56 Kilo (thousand) bits per second.
- Fractionalized T1 T1 line that is split to allow for data communication and voice communication (as opposed to a T1 line used for data communication only).
- **Fractionalized T3** T3 line that is split to allow for data communication and voice communication (as opposed to a T3 line used for data communication only).
- ISDN (Integrated Services Digital Network) phone line that moves data digitally and integrates voice and data.
- T1 refers to a digital transmission speed of 1.536 Mega (million) bits per second.
- T3 refers to a digital transmission speed of 45 Mega (million) bits per second.

1.	1. Your E-Mail Address:										
a. National Center for Educational Statistics (NCES) number:											
	b. District or School name: pre-filled										
3.	For which year commitment? of commitmen	(Check	one ι	inde							ceipt
		APPL	_IED?				RECEIP	T OF COM	MITMENT?		
		YES	NO		Not Approved	Fall '98	Spring/ Summer '99	Fall '99	Spring/ Summer '00	Fall '00	Don't Know
Year On	ie (1/98-6/99)	O	O	<b>→</b>	0	O	O	•	O	O	O
Year Tw	o (7/99-6/00)	O	O	<b>→</b>	0	O	O	0	O	O	O
Year Th	ree (7/00-6/01)	O	O	<b>→</b>	O	0	O	•	O	O	O
4. 5.	Approximately application year Approximately process in the	ar? v how n	nany h	our	s of staff tim	ie were sj				cent	
6.	Did you encou year?  O Yes O No (G			olem	s with the E	-Rate ap <sub>l</sub>	olication proc	ess durino	g the most re	cent applic	ation
7.	To what extent							ne E-Rate a	application p	rocess duri	ng

A GREAT NOT AT SOME-Difficulty with E-Rate application process: ALL WHAT DEAL The information provided by the Schools and Libraries Division of the FCC was unclear O O 0 Getting required ID numbers for my district, schools, or libraries 0 0 Finding the requested information on our current educational technology resources O O 0 Getting the data needed to calculate our discount rate 0 0 0 Finding local vendors O O 0 Obtaining necessary information from the vendors 0 0 0 Finding vendors with the capacity to meet our needs 0 0 0 Completing an educational technology plan in order to be eligible for E-Rate 0 Dealing with changes to our requested equipment or services during the application period 0 0 0 Getting required signatures and/or other internal approvals 0 0 0 Delays in receiving funds from the SLD O 0 0 Working within the constraints of State/district policies or procedures 0 0 0

Other. Please specify:\_\_

O

0

0

## 8. In your most recent E-Rate application, for what types of services or equipment was funding requested? (Answer each item below)

	YES	NO
Telecommunications Services		
Basic telephone service (local and long-distance service, toll charges, call blocking, local loops, local measured service, message rate service, paging service)	•	•
Cellular telephone service	O	O
Cable TV access, Video Service	C	O
Basic Exchange Telecommunications Radio Service (BETRS), Programmed Audio Service	O	O
Satellite service, Personal Communications Service (PCS)	O	O
Serial digital or regular video service	O	O
Telephone equipment (switches, CENTREX, frame relays, permanent virtual circuits)	C	O
Special data lines: Digital Subscriber Lines (any version of DSL), T-1 (fractionalized and Sub-T-1 facilities), Digital Signal 1 (DS-1), ISDN, SMDS	O	O
Homework hotline service	O	O
Distance Learning (Video and Audio Based), Interactive TV	O	O
Internal Connections		
Backbone cabling and other internal wiring	O	O
Local Area Network (LAN), Terminal Server	C	O
Data digital tape drive, RAID, Tape Backup	C	O
Servers and/or monitors	C	O
Private Branch Exchange (PBX), CENTREX console, switchboard, or printer, Relay I/O Module	O	O
Eligible software	O	O
Other adjunct equipment and services: Antennae, Automatic Route Selection (ARS), address blocking, battery module and backup, back up power supply, broadband amplifier, cable box, channel or data service unit, conduit, connector, coupler, DIMM, Ethernet cards, converters, and modules, FTP, FRAD, graphic cards/adapters, hard disk array control, line sharing device, media converter, medium access unit, network interface or multiport serial cards, network interface device, multiplexing, power conditioner, poles, and strips, raceway, routers, purchased satellite dishes, SNMP System Management Module, transceivers, TX or FX converter, UPS interface expander, wire manager, and other eligible services and equipment.	•	•
Programming Charges	O	O
Access to the Internet		
Internet access service	C	O
E-mail service	O	O
Satellite access to Internet and leased satellite dishes	O	O
Browser	C	O
Firewall service	O	O
Web site and domain name creation	O	O
System Improvements and Upgrades	0	0

9. What <u>sources</u> of technical assistance did you receive to complete your most recent E-Rate application? How would you rate the <u>effectiveness</u> of the assistance you obtained? (Answer each item below)

TYPE OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE		SOURCE OF ASSISTANCE OBTAINED?			IF OBTAINED: HOW USEFUL WAS THE ASSISTANCE?			
	Yes	No	Don't know		Not at All Useful	Moderately Useful	Very Useful	
FROM THE STATE OR DISTRICT:								
Conference or regional briefings to discuss application requirements	O	O	O	<b>→</b>	O	O	O	
Training sessions for application writing	O	0	O	→	O	0	0	
Training sessions for developing educational technology plans	O	O	O	<b>→</b>	O	O	O	
Feedback on educational technology plans	O	O	O	<b>→</b>	O	O	O	
Visits by state or district staff	O	O	O	<b>→</b>	O	O	O	
Telephone/e-mail help lines	•	O	•	→	•	0	•	
Web-based materials	O	O	O	<b>→</b>	O	O	O	
E-mail distribution list or listserv		O	O	→	O	O	O	
Sample technology plans (whole or pieces of applications)	O	O	O	<b>→</b>	•	O	O	
Sample successful applications	O	O	O	→	O	0	0	
Other. Please specify:		O	O	<b>→</b>	O	O	0	
FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT:								
Schools and Libraries Division of the FCC (including from their website)	O	0	O	<b>→</b>	O	O	O	
Regional Technology in Education Consortium (R*TEC)		O	O	<b>→</b>	O	•	O	
Regional Education Laboratories	•	O	•	<b>→</b>	O	0	0	
Other. Please specify:		O	•	<b>→</b>	0	0	0	
FROM COMMERCIAL SOURCES:								
Equipment and/or service vendors	O	O	O	→	O	0	0	
Other. Please specify:		O	•	<b>→</b>	O	0	0	
OTHER GROUPS:								
A professional organization (e.g., American Federation of Teachers, National Education Association, American Association of School Administrators, National School Board Association)	0	O	O	<b>→</b>	O	0	O	
International Society for Technology in Education	C	O	O	→	O	0	O	
An institution of higher education	O	O	O	<b>→</b>	O	O	O	
Other. Please specify:	O	O	O	<b>→</b>	O	O	O	

<ul> <li>12. How are the E-Rate funds distributed to con</li> <li> Based on enrollment</li> <li> Based on poverty level</li> <li> Based on need for equipment and second</li> <li> Other. Please specify:</li></ul>	ervices  district/school de	cisions about	the use of E-Ra	ite funds in
	NOT APPLICABLE OR DON'T KNOW	NOT AT ALL	SOMEWHAT	A GREAT DEAL
State policy guidance	O	•	•	•
District educational technology plans	O	•	•	O
District educational technology staff	O	•	•	O
District technology committee	O	•	•	•
District federal program staff	O	•	•	•
District curriculum/instruction staff	0	•	•	•
School board	O	•	•	•
School principal/school administrative team	O	•	•	•
School librarian or media specialist	O	•	•	•
Teachers	•	•	•	•
Parents	O	•	•	O
Community	0	•	•	O
Availability of other funds	O	0	•	•
rivaliability of ourse rainae				

10. Has your district/school ever been part of an E-Rate consortium?

11. If yes, what were the reasons for joining a consortium? (Answer each item below)

O Yes

O No (Go to Q.13)

To simplify the application process

To increase the discount rate we would obtain

Other. Please specify:

YES NO

O O

O O

0

0

<ul> <li>All by the district</li> <li>District with school input</li> <li>Jointly by district and school</li> <li>School with district input</li> <li>School alone</li> <li>Other. Please specify:</li> <li>In your most recent application year, were E-Rate subsidies targeted to district?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No (Go to Q. 17)</li> <li>To which types of schools were E-Rate subsidies directed? (Answer each)</li> </ul>			of schools in your
	1/50	NO	
Caballa that about a limitiative in the amplication process	YES	NO	
Schools that showed initiative in the application process	O	O	
Schools receiving Title I funds Schools with a large number of LEP students	O O	O	
Schools with a large number of students with disabilities	0	0	
Low performing schools	<b>O</b>	0	
High performing schools	<u> </u>	0	
Elementary schools	0	0	
Middle/Junior high schools	0	0	
High schools	0	0	
High poverty schools	O	O	
Schools demonstrating high technology need	0	O	
Other. Please specify:	O	O	
17. In your most recent application year, approximately what percent of E-R services or equipment (i.e., expanding current educational technology r or improving existing equipment or services)?			

14. Which of the following best describes how decisions are made, in general, regarding the use of E-Rate funds in your most recent application year? (Check one)

18. In your most recent application year, did you have a reason to acquire different equipment/s different quantities) than were specified in your original E-Rate application? For example, dr prices could allow the acquisition of more telecommunications equipment than originally plant.	opping	(or
<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No (Go to Q.20)</li></ul>		
19. What caused the change? (Answer each item below)		
	YES	NO
New/better educational technology became available	O	O
Price changes affected the quantity that could be acquired	0	O
Other. Please specify:	O	O
20. Has the receipt of E-Rate subsidies resulted in the acquisition of additional funds or in-kind contributions from other sources? (Answer each item below)		
	YES	NO
Additional funding for technology	0	O
Additional in-kind contributions	0	O
<ul> <li>21. Were librarians/media specialists involved in your most recent E-Rate application process?  <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul> </li> <li>22. Were E-Rate discounts for school libraries or media centers specifically included as part of E-Rate application?  <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul> </li> <li>23. What effect has E-Rate funding had on school libraries or media centers? (Answer each item</li> </ul>	-	
	YES	NO
Improved connectivity to the Internet	0	•
Increased use of the library/media center	0	O
Greater role for the librarian/media specialist in supporting instruction	O	O

24. Which of the following most closely describes your job title? (Check one)
<ul> <li>District Technology Coordinator</li> <li>Other District Staff</li> <li>School Principal</li> <li>School Assistant Principal</li> <li>School Technology Coordinator/Teacher</li> <li>School Department Head</li> <li>Classroom Teacher</li> <li>Other. Please specify:</li></ul>
25. Please share with us any comments you have regarding the E-Rate program, e.g., what has been the most important benefit of the program? What changes would you recommend to the program?

**THANK YOU!**If you have any questions about this survey, please contact Kristen Olson at kolson@ui.urban.org. All study participants will be notified of the availability of the final report once it is completed. Thank you very much for your time.